

# WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

---

January 4, 2005

TO: Internal File

THRU: D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor

FROM: Dana Dean, P.E., Senior Reclamation Hydrologist

RE: 2004 Third Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, Banning Siding, C/007/0034-WQ04-3, Task #2103

- 1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?** YES ☒ NO ☐

*Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:*

The truck-dump sump was reported as dry, there was not enough water to sample.

- 2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.**

See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

## Resampling due date

There is no commitment in the MRP to resample for baseline parameters.

- 3. Were all required parameters reported for each site?** YES ☒ NO ☐

*Comments, including identity of monitoring site:*

The truck-dump sump was reported as dry, there was not enough water to sample.

- 4. Were irregularities found in the data?** YES ☐ NO ☒

*Comments, including identity of monitoring site:*

**5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?**

1 <sup>st</sup> month,	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
2 <sup>nd</sup> month,	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
3 <sup>rd</sup> month,	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>

All DMRs reported "no flow".

**6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?**

YES ☒ NO ☐

*Comments, including identity of monitoring site:*

All DMRs reported "no flow".

**7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?**

YES ☐ NO ☒

*Comments, including identity of monitoring site:*

All DMRs reported "no flow".

**8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?**

No further actions are necessary at this time.